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Executive summary

The battery electric vehicle (BEV) is a crucial building block in vehicle manufacturers’ mission to meet Europe’s CO₂ targets. A strong supply of BEVs, which are currently heavily subsidised on the new-car market and which will mostly register through leasing agreements, will create a strong supply of used cars three to four years down the road.

Extended warranties for batteries merely represent a way to stop losses from a used-car buyer perspective: in the absence of certainty around replacement costs, these warranties help avoid a worst-case scenario where BEVs would become almost unsellable.

Another substantial market risk (and market opportunity) is the treatment of the battery. Whether a battery is treated well or not – keeping all other factors such as climate, geography, topography and mileage as constant, can result in an approximately 5% difference in quality (e.g. range) in a battery after three years and a distance of 45,000km.

Treatment factors considered for a simulation were driving, charging and parking behaviour. Without transparency regarding the battery treatment (and operating conditions), the battery quality cannot be correctly evaluated. This further emphasises the substantial information asymmetry between seller and buyer. The buyer assumes the worst quality and the seller has no incentive to treat the battery well. This inevitably creates a downward spiral.

Improved and verifiable battery quality can deliver approx. 2.4% additional value in the transaction, i.e. approx. €450 for a three-year used C-segment BEV in Germany. Effective signals in the form of Battery Health Reports (BHR) can create economic value of €4.5 million for every 10,000 BEV used-car transactions – to the benefit of all stakeholders. Sellers of BEVs should remarket the vehicle with a Battery Health Report (BHR) to eliminate the information asymmetry. This information should be available as a standard data item on used-car portals, similar to information on age, mileage and equipment. Leasing companies could manage better or worse treatment in a similar way to how they treat lower or excess mileage, again to the benefit of all stakeholders.
Next wave of BEVs approaching with force

The first two waves of BEVs in 2011 and 2017 washed through Europe almost unnoticed. Market shares towards the end of 2019 were still well below 2%. The usual suspects for this underperformance are range, infrastructure, price and choice.

Market conditions are changing fast. Most recently launched BEVs demonstrate ranges above 400km and falling prices. Infrastructure is growing notably in the economically stronger countries; markets in these locations will lead the transformation. OEMs have a serious stake this time in making electric mobility work; they are motivated by European fines of up to €35 billion, annually, if they miss CO₂ emission targets.

Hard to calculate without the host

Success on the new-car market is only possible if a model is successful on the used-car market. Why? A low price realised in the used-car transaction establishes a high loss in value that drives leasing rates up and in turn makes the car unattractive as a new car. However, high up-front incentives may stimulate demand for BEVs as a new car, particularly as in Germany, BEVs receive a €6,000 BEV bonus when purchased new and company car drivers can save up to 75% of a sizeable benefit-in-kind tax.

Nevertheless, the used-car buyers are the hosts of this party. Their willingness to pay a high price for the BEV as a used car will be low, if they fear that battery quality and range have deteriorated fast or will drop soon. Considerable information asymmetries exist between the buyer and seller of a BEV. OEMs have only partially addressed these concerns with extended battery warranties. By not providing transparency on the quality of the battery, they are missing an opportunity to develop the market and to create value.
Consider the quest for the ideal value for money in a hotel room. Guests are willing to pay a substantial premium for excellence in a hotel room if they have a chance to evaluate whether the offered price is adequate. They rely on evaluations from other guests. Powerful signals drive our buying decisions and willingness to pay for a product and services. We trust customer feedback and recommendation when we look for the next mobile phone, when we shop for food or when we seek medical advice. These signals come in the form of labels, certificates or ratings.

**Used-car programmes deliver an estimated economic value of €150 million every year**

External and independent parties endorse the most powerful ones. Some of them are mandatory; without them, the market would collapse. Some of them are voluntary, but no less powerful. When do signals work best?

**The market of lemons**

Nobel Prize winner George A. Akerlof researched the fundamentals of information asymmetry and its negative impact on the economy in a paper, *The Market for Lemons: Quality Uncertainty and the Market Mechanisms*. He argues that in the used-car market without proper signals, the asymmetry in information results in the buyer expecting low quality in a product. The seller knows that the prospective buyer will not pay a premium for higher quality, since the buyer cannot assess the real quality. In fact, the seller fares better if he offers lower quality and tries to request a slightly higher price than the actual quality deserves. The result is a downward spiral, the quality of the product and prices deteriorate. The market would work better and create higher economic value with effective signals, which bridge the information asymmetry. Some of these signals have been introduced in the automotive industry, for example in the form of used-car warranties and used-car programmes, which send a credible signal of superior and certified quality.
When they do not create information asymmetry between buyer and seller. The financial impact of signals in the automotive industry is substantial. Autovista Group has evaluated the impact of extended warranties and used-car programmes on residual values. Five- and seven-year extended warranties drive the value of a used vehicle up by more than €500 for a 36-month old car in the volume segment.

Used-car programmes drive remarketing results up by approx. €400-600 per vehicle depending on segment and brand for the 36-months point in time. There are approx. 300,000 used vehicles sold every year under a used-car label in Germany within that age bracket. If each car delivers a €500 higher transaction price, this amounts to economic value for the German automotive industry of €150 million.
Batteries do not age gracefully – they like to be treated well

Battery treatment is the most important consideration in the assessment of used batteries, as it has a major impact on their degradation. Many of us are familiar with the cell-phone scenario. A new phone performs well initially, but after a while, depending on the model, this performance drops. The phone’s owner notices that they need to charge it more frequently, which has an impact on usage and will eventually mean they start thinking about buying a new phone. For many people, this will not hit their pocket too hard.

The same cannot be said for automotive batteries, as they can cost between €10,000 and €30,000 per pack – approximately 30-50% of the total vehicle cost. A battery is the most expensive singular component in a vehicle, whether it is new or used. As it operates and gets older, two major effects can be observed: firstly, the battery’s capacity gradually declines (limiting a vehicle’s range) and secondly, the inner resistance increases (causing a loss in performance).

A typical automotive lithium-ion battery, or battery pack, normally comprises serially connected modules, which are made up of cells. The cells consist of differing materials that need to interact with each other in a specific way for optimum battery performance. Over time, the impact of physical-chemical effects in the cells will affect the ageing process. Negative changes in the lithium-ion cells can occur on the anode, cathode, electrolyte, current collector, and the separator.

Not getting any younger

Lithium-ion BEV batteries are no exception to the rule. Huge amounts of time and effort are being invested into assessing the battery-ageing process. The goals of transparency for users and manufacturers and optimised service life drive this mission. Information on the battery’s state of health (SoH) is only available in very generic terms. The battery management system (BMS) will provide you with a number, such as 89%. At first glance this tells you that 89% of the initial capacity is still usable. But is this a ‘good’ performance for a battery? This type of information alone is not a reliable indicator of battery ageing. This is like going to the doctor and being told that your current health is 89%. What does that really mean for you? 11% of the missing ‘performance’ can translate into having a broken arm, or it could refer to a cardiac defect. Whilst the former will most likely not impact your overall life expectancy, the latter might be significantly reducing it. Without knowing any details about your habits, behaviours, and environment, the medic cannot make any specific prognosis about your life expectancy. More specific information is essential. Similarly, a reduced battery capacity can have different causes, and some will impact the remaining useful lifetime more...
than others. The state of health prognosis provided by the BMS falls far short of delivering the information required for decision-making.

Vehicle and battery manufacturers alike have recognised the need to better understand the battery degradation process. Two kinds have been identified: cyclic (age resulting from battery use) and calendar (age resulting from chemical processes when the battery is not in use). Cyclic ageing is influenced by operating conditions (stress factors such as charging throughput, temperature, rate of power, charging/discharging hub and state of charge (SoC)) and the way in which the battery is charged. Calendar ageing is driven by the SoC and the storage temperature.

In the BEV, battery degradation manifests itself in two ways:

1. The battery capacity starts to decrease, which reduces the range of a BEV; and
2. Internal resistance within the cell increases, which weakens performance e.g. acceleration and charging.

Some of these degradation mechanisms can be influenced by the user, others cannot.

**Manifestation of battery ageing**

One key physical-chemical effect involves changes to the anode/electrolyte interface. As soon as the anode comes into contact with the electrolyte solvent, a layer of film begins to form. This film is referred to as the Solid Electrolyte Interphase (SEI) and comprises the products of electrolyte decomposition. The SEI is still one of the least understood components of the battery cell. Although having an SEI is crucial for the battery’s safe operation, it can have negative effects on battery-ageing. Essentially, the growth of this layer weakens lithium ions’ ability to react electrochemically. As the layer gets thicker, the mobility of lithium ions in the electrolyte reduces and the internal resistance increases. Further, lithium bound in the SEI cannot be used for storing energy any longer and thus leads to decreasing capacity.

**Oversizing of battery packs**

Manufacturers of electric vehicles commonly oversize their battery packs to compensate for the gradual capacity loss. In order to improve customer satisfaction and account for different treatment and operating conditions (e.g. climate), they include additional capacity in their battery systems, which they gradually make available across the lifetime or warranty period of the battery. So whilst the usable battery capacity (the so-called depth of discharge) may only be 90% at the beginning, this might be increased to 95% towards the end of the warranty period. The user only notices marginal reductions in the range and enjoys a perceived higher product quality. This also prevents warranty claims.

Since not all manufacturers are using such measures and the degree varies, oversizing of batteries is not considered in the simulation.
Lithium (Li-) plating is one of the most critical degradation mechanisms. Also known as lithium deposition, it concerns the build-up of metallic lithium around the anode of lithium-ion batteries mainly during (fast-) charging. This has two effects: an increase of the inner resistance and a loss of lithium inventory and thus capacity. It therefore adversely impacts both, performance and capacity. Ultimately, Li-plating can have a deadly effect on the battery. With an increasing amount of Li-plating, the capacity of a battery can rapidly decreases. This so-called non-linear ageing occurs towards the end of a battery’s life before it becomes unusable. The decline is such that the battery cannot even be qualified as suitable for second life, i.e. the application in stationary storages for which lower currents and depth of discharges are required. This undesirable effect can be avoided by charging with moderate currents and avoiding very low temperatures. This is also the reason why some batteries with 80% state of health might already be a couple of cycles away from their end of life whilst others might still have hundreds of cycles to go. The potential buyer needs to consider the end-of-life factor – how many years does this battery have left? The range, i.e. the capacity, of the battery is not the only indicator.

The feel-good environment for batteries

The cell temperature is one of the most important influences on battery degradation. A lithium-ion battery's feel-good area is very much like a human's and lies between 20°C and 40°C for most of those commercially available. A temperature increase of about 10°C leads to chemical processes running twice as fast as before. If the temperature rises above 40°C, the rate of ageing increases incrementally, leading to a significantly reduced expected lifetime.

The opposite situation can be even worse news for batteries. Charging or discharging lithium-ion battery packs at cold temperatures may cause Li-plating and effect severe capacity loss. Ambient temperature is not the only culprit; battery cells themselves warm up during charging and discharging and must be effectively tempered.

In this paper, we do not consider the impact of ambient temperature on batteries. The stage in our case is set in Germany in a moderate climate. More extreme conditions – e.g. tropical or arctic – also have a significant impact.

Manufacturers address the temperature impact with the appropriate thermal management systems. The quality of the thermal management varies, and the correct setup is very complex, but generally the BEV user has minimal influence on this.

The use and misuse of batteries

This is different when it comes to the use of the vehicle. When using a BEV, the two degradation modes should be kept in mind: cyclic and calendar ageing. The former is significantly impacted by user behaviour.

Obviously, a higher energy consumption due to speeding, rapid acceleration or mechanical
braking instead of recuperation will ultimately cause the range of a BEV to reduce – as it does in a regular petrol car. Batteries must then be recharged more frequently, which drives up the overall cycle count. This means that the degradation occurs more quickly. Depending on the operating conditions, e.g. how much capacity of the batteries is used – the depth of discharge – or the ambient temperature, the cycles can also differ in their impact on the remaining useful lifetime of the battery.

As fast charging is often the biggest stress factor on a BEV nowadays, it is worth examining this element as well. BEV drivers who cover long distances and are under time pressure – think sales rep racing up and down the country to visit clients – may need to use fast charging regularly.

On the other hand, a BEV driver who takes their car out once or twice a week to visit their relatives down the road can easily use regular charging at home without putting any excess stress on the battery.

Again, the depth of discharge plays a role here. But it is also relevant to look at the target state of charge. Many manufacturers will, by default, only charge the vehicle to 80%. The reason is that the extremes - either completely discharged or completely charged - stress the battery significantly more than use in the middle of charge window (e.g. 30-60%).

Another effect comes into play here too – the calendar ageing. Parking a BEV fully charged is not ideal. When storing a battery or parking a BEV, lower states of charge and temperatures are better to prevent this degradation mode.
Battery-ageing simulation – comparing three treatments

As established, batteries are sensitive, and multiple factors (temperature, mileage, geography, topography) influence their ageing process. For the purposes of this paper, however, we focused on three specific factors – driving, charging, and parking behaviour.

Why? Because we know that the usage behaviour of the BEV driver – how they drive, to what intensity level they charge their battery, how much electricity is consumed during driving time (e.g. impact of use of air conditioning) – is one key determinant of battery degradation.

TWAICE battery experts designed and carried out a simulation with the aim of better assessing the impact of battery treatment and its financial impact. Three levels of treatment behaviour were investigated: worse, average and better battery treatment. All relate to and

### Simulation conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Germany</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mileage</td>
<td>15,000km p.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timeframe</td>
<td>Three years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Battery Size</td>
<td>Average (‘Golf’ class)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fact:** All conditions are considered equal. Variations in these have a noticeable impact on battery health.

have a (greater or lesser) effect on the degradation of a BEV battery.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Three simulated treatments (all other factors constant)</th>
<th>Driver 1 (worse treatment)</th>
<th>Driver 2 (average treatment)</th>
<th>Driver 3 (better treatment)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General driving style</td>
<td>Aggressive</td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>Anticipatory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of motorways</td>
<td>Regularly</td>
<td>Sometimes</td>
<td>Rarely</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fast charging</td>
<td>Frequently</td>
<td>Occasionally</td>
<td>Never</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charging with a depth of discharge &gt; 90%</td>
<td>Frequently</td>
<td>Sometimes</td>
<td>Rarely</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average depth of discharge</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average state of charge storage level</td>
<td>80-100%</td>
<td>50-80%</td>
<td>30-50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For the purposes of the simulation, the border conditions – temperature, mileage, geography – were all constant. We assumed an annual mileage of 15,000km over three years. The simulation represents driving in Germany (no speed limits on large parts of the national motorway system, temperate northern European climate).

**Driver 1** represents worse battery treatment. Their general driving style can be termed aggressive; this kind of driver might be inclined to accelerate sharply when the light turns green, for example, and rarely practices regenerative braking. Factors such as traffic conditions and time of day will influence the degree of ‘aggressiveness’ - heavy traffic, for example, will automatically reduce it.

Probably under time pressure and with a lot of mileage to cover, they use the motorways a lot, which means frequently fast charging their BEV. The average depth of discharge is correspondingly high. These drivers may well suffer from ‘range anxiety’, which will impact their charging treatment – the main motivation being never to run out of range. The state of charge storage level is between 80% and 100%.

**Driver 2** is our Joe Average, representing average battery-treatment behaviour and an ensuing neutral effect on battery-ageing. These drivers are in the grey zone between their aggressive and gentle counterparts. In a real-world scenario, they might be conscious of the recommended conditions and behaviour for BEV drivers and will generally try to adhere to these; however, unplanned events sometimes make this impossible. They are mixing the urban trips with some long-haul motorway journeys.

**Driver 3** is at the other end of the scale and displays better battery treatment behaviour. This kind of driver is likely to take full advantage of the potential to regenerate energy, a concept known as eco-driving. Their anticipatory driving style is gentle, which can be attributed to their lifestyle and the factors affecting it: compared to Driver 1, they may rarely feel under time pressure and never

**Figure 1: Battery state of health (SoH) over time**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time / Years</th>
<th>Driver 1 (worse treatment)</th>
<th>Driver 2 (average treatment)</th>
<th>Driver 3 (better treatment)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>97.8%</td>
<td>95.8%</td>
<td>93.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
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carry out fast charging, presumably, because they never need to.

Figure 1 shows the SoH development of an average-sized battery under the three simulated treatment conditions (worse, average, better battery treatment). While all three SoH show a gradual linear decrease after year one, the graph clearly depicts how high-intensity battery treatment can make a considerable difference – as much as 4.5% points to battery capacity at a 36 months point in time. The simulation also enables predictions of what happens thereafter, even bigger differences emerge. Assuming the state of health of 80% to represent the end of life, we see a spread of 41% end-of-life difference between the worse and the better treatments (see Table 2).

All the above demonstrates how beneficial it would be to assessors of BEV residual values to have access to (1) the treatment history of a battery and (2) prediction information regarding remaining battery life. Gaining data on the operating condition of a battery is key to assessing the remaining useful lifetime - this much is clear. But how can this information be obtained? This section addresses the question and provides a possible solution.

Data is the essential enabler of understanding battery condition and the remaining useful lifetime.

As established, capturing battery data is essential to providing information on the current condition and the remaining useful lifetime. But what kind of data are we actually talking about?

**Battery status: Capacity**

A first indication on the quality of the battery can already be provided by driving a so-called full cycle with the battery, i.e. completely charging and discharging it, to identify its capacity and the corresponding range. The information on the current capacity does provide the information about the available

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2: End-of-life predictions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Battery end of life (SoH at 80%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Predictive battery analytics: TWAICE Digital Twin

Using readily available data from the BMS, the TWAICE software creates a virtual copy of the real battery capturing its entire usage history – a so-called digital twin.

This digital twin provides information not only on the current condition, the remaining useful lifetime and capacity/performance development but also enables simulations about changes in operating strategies, e.g. second life.

The key lies in the combination of augmenting physical realities and data- and model-driven predictions. The approach merges the benefits of empirical models with machine learning. It delivers reliable SoH determinations and predictions from the outset and continues to provide improving analytics with an increasing data pool.
performance at that time but cannot provide sufficient information about the remaining useful lifetime.

**Battery history: Load characteristics and operating conditions**

To enable the assessment of the used battery and its remaining useful lifetime, the consideration of its use is essential. This information ranges from the total energy throughput and cycle amount to the depth of discharge, the energy throughput at each time (e.g. charging), to the temperatures within the system. Whilst the data is always generated by sensors in the battery system and used by the BMS to prevent malfunctions, the information is not always saved or made available. In addition to the battery-system data itself, the operating conditions of the BEV also play a role. Climate, to just name one, can have an adverse effect on a battery exposed to excessive heat, humidity or cold. Such factors (except for actual temperature changes) are not captured by regular systems but their effect can be identified by assessing the electric-thermal behaviour of batteries.

In summary, the availability of detailed data from the vehicle and the battery can make a big difference in the assessment of used vehicles. From rough indications on the quality (e.g. current capacity) to precise predictions about the remaining useful lifetime and capacity, as well as performance developments, the assessments depend completely on data.
Battery impact on used BEV evaluation

Currently, without signals or certificates for the actual battery quality (SoH), most recently launched C-segment BEV models will be trading at approx. €18,450 on average after three years, representing 47% of the average original list price.

Providing a Battery Health Report (BHR) with a proven battery quality of 98% SoH, with a strong signal of a battery’s remaining capacity, could significantly increase RVs for BEVs. A higher SoH directly correlates with the possible range of the electric car. The 5% higher SoH of a better-treated battery represents not only a 5% greater range but also lower future degeneration of the battery and thus the range, which would be appreciated by the future owner. Autovista Group estimates that 1% SoH improvement (achieved via the stated driving profiles) equals an RV improvement of approx. 0.5%. RVs of three-year old latest-generation C-segment BEVs would achieve a 2.4% uplift for better-treated batteries between the worst and the best treatment scenario. This represents a €450 increase in price for a typical vehicle (Figure 2). The financial impact of a higher-signalled battery quality is substantial. In the

€4.5 million improvement for every 10,000 used BEVs

C-segment, a 2.4% higher used-car price results in a €4.5 million improvement for every 10,000 used BEV transactions.

Figure 2: Average C-segment BEV forecast RV, 36months / 45,000km, trade, Germany; latest generations Hyundai e-Ioniq, Nissan Leaf, Volkswagen e-Golf
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<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Worse</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Better</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Price</td>
<td>18,450</td>
<td>18,675</td>
<td>18,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>47.6</td>
<td>48.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**Autovista Group BEV RV evaluation / methodological background**

Autovista Group introduced an RV-setting framework particular to BEVs more than nine years ago. At the time, there were few actual used-car market transactions available to back-test the framework. Today, more transactions are taking place and the methodology is robust and substantiated in real-market observations. The methodology confirms the considerably high share of value of the battery within the BEV asset value. Autovista Group lets the battery depreciate on a curve separate to the vehicle-depreciation curve. One of the most substantial value contributors is the length of the extended battery warranty. Autovista Group accrues battery-replacement costs and deducts them from the residual value. For example, 10% of anticipated battery-replacement costs are deducted two years and 30% one year before the end of the warranty. Autovista Group also evaluates running-cost advantages of the BEV versus the internal combustion engine and applies them as a factor in the BEV RV assessment model. Important: The worse-treatment scenario is the underlying scenario for residual-value formation in the absence of a BHR.
**TÜV Rheinland Valuation Method**

TÜV Rheinland has many years of excellent expertise in the assessment and evaluation of vehicles, used vehicles and new vehicles in logistics.

Within the vehicle evaluation process, the vehicle is identified by its chassis number and vehicle-specific data such as first registration, owner history and mileage is recorded. The TÜV Rheinland experts (certified car master craftsmen and engineers) then take a close look at the vehicle in order to record all factors that influence its value. These include, among others, the recording of any optional equipment, documentation of the maintenance and service work carried out and due, the functional testing of essential equipment, a test run and a visual inspection of the vehicle’s condition. The paint layer thicknesses are measured and the vehicle is examined for any repaired previous damage as well as any damage still present. The information provided by the workshop from the event memories is evaluated and any damage and defects that exceed normal wear and tear are recorded and taken into account to adjust value depending on age and mileage. The entire process is partially automated with the support of various innovative digital tools and applications.

TÜV Rheinland cooperates with TWAICE in the development of test procedures for the future-oriented determination of the residual value of the traction battery in BEVs and PHEVs. Approaches such as selective and permanent testing and evaluation of battery data are pursued here. This allows statements to be made about the remaining capacity as well as forecasts of the remaining operating time in the vehicle.

To determine used electric vehicles’ (BEV, PHEV) current market values, dealer purchase and sales values (HEK/HVK), TÜV Rheinland enriches its classical vehicle evaluation process, considering common used-car trade platforms and market reports, along with the evaluation of the battery data. The final valuation appraisal contains a detailed list of all recorded data and factors influencing the values as well as photographic documentation, which in future can also include a battery certificate.
What should you do?

Currently, without a signal that indicates the battery quality, used-car buyers assume BEV batteries are treated worse by the previous owner than in fact they are and, therefore, are only willing to pay a comparatively low price.

The Battery Health Report (BHR) could overcome these assumptions of worse-treated batteries, prove higher battery qualities and thus longer ranges.

- Used-car buyers should insist on obtaining a BHR to establish proven, independently assessed battery quality and corresponding range for the electric vehicle to be bought.
- The BHR should not only reflect the actual SoH of the battery but also its treatment history, how often it was fast charged, how often it was highly discharged, the average depth of discharge, the average state of charge storage levels, as well as the expected remaining useful lifetime. These figures should all be compared to average battery treatment.
- Used-car sellers should provide a BHR alongside the description of the vehicle to achieve the maximum resale price, especially for a BEV with a better-treated battery, to prove the high quality of the battery and the still considerable range of the BEV to be sold.
- OEMs should be more transparent about battery health and enable analyses – this would add up to 2.4% in RV performance (for better battery treatment).
- Fleet and leasing companies could incentivise better treatment of batteries together with fleet managers and grant a kickback, similar to that for credit mileages. Worse treatment could be charged for, as with excess mileage.
- With a BHR in place for all used BEVs, residual values would rise as the worst-case assumption on battery treatment is moderated and eventually lifted by the BHR.

If you want to find out more about TWAICE, Autovista Group, TÜV Rheinland and how we can support your quest to improved BEV remarketing, visit our websites or contact the authors of this whitepaper.
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